

1 0 JUL 2009



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP
SUITE 500
3000 K STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20007

In re Application of :
YOON et al. : NOTIFICATION
Application No.: 10/584,409 :
PCT No.: PCT/KR2005/000346 :
Int. Filing Date: 04 February 2005 :
Priority Date: 12 February 2004 :
Attorney's Docket No.: 078134-0128 :
For: POLYMER PATTERN AND METAL FILM PATTERN, :
METAL PATTERN, PLASTIC MOLD USING THEREOF, :
AND METHOD OF FORMING THE SAME :

This notification is in response to applicants' submission filed 24 April 2009.

BACKGROUND

On 04 February 2005, applicants filed international application PCT/KR2005/000346 which designated the U.S. and claimed a priority date of 12 February 2004. A copy of the international application was communicated to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) from the International Bureau on 25 August 2005. The thirty-month period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired at midnight on 12 August 2006.

On 26 June 2006, applicants filed a transmittal letter for entry into the national stage in the United States, which was accompanied by, *inter alia*, the Basic National Fee and a declaration of inventors.

On 17 January 2009, the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) mailed a NOTIFICATION OF MISSING REQUIREMENTS UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371 (Form PCT/DO/EO/905) indicating, *inter alia*, that applicant must provide an oath or declaration of the inventors in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a)-(b). The NOTIFICATION indicated that the name of the fifth inventor was different on the international application (Joo-Nyong CHOI) than it was on the declaration of inventors filed 26 June 2006 (Joon-Yong CHOI).

On 24 April 2009, applicants filed the instant submission including an explanation of the difference in the spelling of the name of the fifth inventor.

DISCUSSION

The submission filed 24 April 2009 concerning the spelling of the name of the fifth inventor explains that the spelling of the inventor's name in the declaration is accurate and that the spelling in the international application is inaccurate. This explanation of the difference in spelling between the international application and the declaration of inventors is accepted and noted for the record.

Declaration of Inventors

The declaration filed 26 June 2006 is an improper composite declaration, consisting of one of Page 1, one of Page 2, one of Page 3, two of Page 4, two of Page 5, and one of Page 6. Each inventor must be presented with a complete declaration which lists all of the inventors, and the signature of each inventor must appear on at least one complete declaration. Multiple complete declarations may be submitted, but it is not permissible to combine pages of separate documents.

CONCLUSION

Applicants are required to file a proper declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a)-(b) within TWO (2) MONTHS from the date of mailing of this decision. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Failure to timely file a proper response to this decision in a timely manner will result in abandonment of the application with regards to national stage prosecution in the United States.

Please direct further correspondence with respect to this matter to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, Office of PCT Legal Administration, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.

/Daniel Stemmer/

Daniel Stemmer
Legal Examiner
PCT Legal Affairs
Office of Patent Cooperation Treaty
Legal Administration
Telephone: (571) 272-3301
Facsimile: (571) 273-0459